18. The LOOP Iteration Macro

18.1 Introduction

loop is a Lisp macro that provides a programmable iteration facility. The same loop module operates compatibly in Zetalisp, Maclisp (PDP-10 and Multics), and NIL, and a moderately compatible package is under development for the MDL programming environment. loop was inspired by the "FOR" facility of CLISP in InterLisp; however, it is not compatible and differs in several details.

The general approach is that a form introduced by the word loop generates a single program loop, into which a large variety of features can be incorporated. The loop consists of some initialization (prologue) code, a body that may be executed several times, and some exit (epilogue) code. Variables may be declared local to the loop. The features are concerned with loop variables, deciding when to end the iteration, putting user-written code into the loop, returning a value from the construct, and iterating a variable through various real or virtual sets of values.

The loop form consists of a series of clauses, each introduced by a keyword symbol. Forms appearing in or implied by the clauses of a loop form are classed as those to be executed as initialization code, body code, and/or exit code; within each part of the template filled in by loop, they are executed strictly in the order implied by the original composition. Thus, just as in ordinary Lisp code, side-effects may be used, and one piece of code may depend on following another for its proper operation. This is the principal philosophic difference from InterLisp's "FOR" facility.

Note that loop forms are intended to look like stylized English rather than Lisp code. There is a notably low density of parentheses, and many of the keywords are accepted in several synonymous forms to allow writing of more euphonious and grammatical English. Some find this notation verbose and distasteful, while others find it flexible and convenient. The former are invited to stick to do.

Here are some examples to illustrate the use of loop.

(defun print-elements-of-list (list-of-elements)
 (loop for element in list-of-elements
       do (print element)))

The above function prints each element in its argument, which should be a list. It returns nil.

(defun gather-alist-entries (list-of-pairs)
 (loop for pair in list-of-pairs
       collect (car pair)))

gather-alist-entries takes an association list and returns a list of the "keys"; that is, (gather-alist-entries '((foo 1 2) (bar 259) (baz))) returns (foo bar baz).
(defun extract-interesting-numbers (start-value end-value)
  (loop for number from start-value to end-value
        when (interesting-p number) collect number))

The above function takes two arguments, which should be fixnums, and returns a list of all the numbers in that range (inclusive) which satisfy the predicate interesting-p.

(defun find-maximum-element (an-array)
  (loop for i from 0 below (array-dimension-n 1 an-array)
        maximize (aref an-array i)))

find-maximum-element returns the maximum of the elements of its argument, a one-dimensional array. For Maclisp, aref could be a macro which turns into either funcall or arraycall depending on what is known about the type of the array.

(defun my-remove (object list)
  (loop for element in list
        unless (equal object element) collect element))

my-remove is like the Lisp function delete, except that it copies the list rather than destructively splicing out elements. This is similar, although not identical, to the Zetalisp function remove.

(defun find-frob (list)
  (loop for element in list
        when (frobp element) return element
       finally (error nil "No frob found in the list ~S" list)))

This returns the first element of its list argument which satisfies the predicate frobp. If none is found, an error is generated.

18.2 Clauses

 Internally, loop constructs a prog which includes variable bindings, pre-iteration (initialization) code, post-iteration (exit) code, the body of the iteration, and stepping of variables of iteration to their next values (which happens on every iteration after executing the body).

 A clause consists of the keyword symbol and any Lisp forms and keywords that it deals with. For example,

 (loop for x in 1 do (print x)),

 contains two clauses, "for x in 1" and "do (print x)". Certain of the parts of the clause will be described as being expressions, e.g. (print x) in the above. An expression can be a single Lisp form, or a series of forms implicitly collected with progn. An expression is terminated by the next following atom, which is taken to be a keyword. This syntax allows only the first form in an expression to be atomic, but makes misspelled keywords more easily detectable.
loop uses print-name equality to compare keywords so that loop forms may be written without package prefixes; in Lisp implementations that do not have packages, eq is used for comparison.

Bindings and iteration variable steppings may be performed either sequentially or in parallel, which affects how the stepping of one iteration variable may depend on the value of another. The syntax for distinguishing the two will be described with the corresponding clauses. When a set of things is "in parallel", all of the bindings produced will be performed in parallel by a single lambda binding. Subsequent bindings will be performed inside of that binding environment.

18.2.1 Iteration-Driving Clauses

These clauses all create a variable of iteration, which is bound locally to the loop and takes on a new value on each successive iteration. Note that if more than one iteration-driving clause is used in the same loop, several variables are created that all step together through their values; when any of the iterations terminates, the entire loop terminates. Nested iterations are not generated; for those, you need a second loop form in the body of the loop. In order not to produce strange interactions, iteration driving clauses are required to precede any clauses that produce "body" code: that is, all except those that produce prologue or epilogue code (initially and finally), bindings (with), the named clause, and the iteration termination clauses (while and until).

Clauses which drive the iteration may be arranged to perform their testing and stepping either in series or in parallel. They are by default grouped in series, which allows the stepping computation of one clause to use the just-computed values of the iteration variables of previous clauses. They may be made to step "in parallel", as is the case with the do special form, by "joining" the iteration clauses with the keyword and. The form this typically takes is something like

\[
\text{(loop ... for } x = (f) \text{ and for } y = \text{init then } (g \ x) \ldots)
\]

which sets \( x \) to \( f \) on every iteration, and binds \( y \) to the value of \( \text{init} \) for the first iteration, and on every iteration thereafter sets it to \( g \ x \), where \( x \) still has the value from the previous iteration. Thus, if the calls to \( f \) and \( g \) are not order-dependent, this would be best written as

\[
\text{(loop ... for } y = \text{init then } (g \ x) \text{ for } x = (f) \ldots)
\]

because, as a general rule, parallel stepping has more overhead than sequential stepping. Similarly, the example

\[
\text{(loop for sublist on some-list}
\text{ and for previous = 'undefined then sublist}
\text{ ...)}
\]

which is equivalent to the do construct

\[
\text{(do ((sublist some-list (cdr sublist))}
\text{ (previous 'undefined sublist))}
\text{ ((null sublist) ...)}
\text{ ...)}
\]

in terms of stepping, would be better written as
(loop for previous = 'undefined then sublist
            for sublist on some-list
            ...
)

When iteration driving clauses are joined with and, if the token following the and is not a keyword that introduces an iteration driving clause, it is assumed to be the same as the keyword that introduced the most recent clause; thus, the above example showing parallel stepping could have been written as

(loop for sublist on some-list
            and previous = 'undefined then sublist
            ...
)

The order of evaluation in iteration-driving clauses is that those expressions that are only evaluated once are evaluated in order at the beginning of the form, during the variable-binding phase, while those expressions that are evaluated each time around the loop are evaluated in order in the body.

One common and simple iteration driving clause is repeat:

repeat expression

This evaluates expression (during the variable binding phase), and causes the loop to iterate that many times. expression is expected to evaluate to a fixnum. If expression evaluates to a zero or negative result, the body code will not be executed.

All remaining iteration driving clauses are subdispatches of the keyword for, which is synonymous with as. In all of them a variable of iteration is specified. Note that, in general, if an iteration driving clause implicitly supplies an endtest, the value of this iteration variable is undefined as the loop is exited (i.e., when the epilogue code is run). This is discussed in more detail in section 18.6.

Here are all of the varieties of for clauses. Optional parts are enclosed in curly brackets. The data-types as used here are discussed fully in section 18.4.

for var {data-type} in expr1 {by expr2}

This iterates over each of the elements in the list expr1. If the by subclause is present, expr2 is evaluated once on entry to the loop to supply the function to be used to fetch successive sublists, instead of cdr.

for var {data-type} on expr1 {by expr2}

This is like the previous for format, except that var is set to successive sublists of the list instead of successive elements. Note that since var will always be a list, it is not meaningful to specify a data-type unless var is a destructuring pattern, as described in the section on destructuring, page 287. Note also that loop uses a null rather than an atom test to implement both this and the preceding clause.

for var {data-type} = expr

On each iteration, expr is evaluated and var is set to the result.

for var {data-type} = expr1 then expr2

var is bound to expr1 when the loop is entered, and set to expr2 (re-evaluated) at all but the first iteration. Since expr1 is evaluated during the binding phase, it cannot reference other iteration variables set before it; for that, use the following:
for var\{data-type\} first expr1 then expr2
This sets var to expr1 on the first iteration, and to expr2 (re-evaluated) on each succeeding iteration. The evaluation of both expressions is performed inside of the loop binding environment, before the loop body. This allows the first value of var to come from the first value of some other iteration variable, allowing such constructs as

\[
\begin{align*}
(\text{loop for term in poly} \\
\quad \text{for ans first (car term) then (gcd ans (car term))} \\
\quad \text{finally (return ans)})
\end{align*}
\]

for var\{data-type\} from expr1 to expr2 \{by expr3\}
This performs numeric iteration. var is initialized to expr1, and on each succeeding iteration is incremented by expr3 (default 1). If the to phrase is given, the iteration terminates when var becomes greater than expr2. Each of the expressions is evaluated only once, and the to and by phrases may be written in either order. downto may be used instead of to, in which case var is decremented by the step value, and the endtest is adjusted accordingly. If below is used instead of to, or above instead of downto, the iteration will be terminated before expr2 is reached, rather than after. Note that the to variant appropriate for the direction of stepping must be used for the endtest to be formed correctly; i.e. the code will not work if expr3 is negative or zero. If no limit-specifying clause is given, then the direction of the stepping may be specified as being decreasing by using downfrom instead of from. upfrom may also be used instead of from; it forces the stepping direction to be increasing. The data-type defaults to fixnum.

for var\{data-type\} being expr and its path ...

for var\{data-type\} being (each | the) path ...
This provides a user-definable iteration facility. path names the manner in which the iteration is to be performed. The ellipsis indicates where various path dependent preposition/expression pairs may appear. See the section on Iteration Paths (page 289) for complete documentation.

18.2.2 Bindings
The with keyword may be used to establish initial bindings, that is, variables that are local to the loop but are only set once, rather than on each iteration. The with clause looks like:

with var\{data-type\} \{ = expr1 \} \\
\{and var2 \{data-type\} \{ = expr2\}\}...
If no expr is given, the variable is initialized to the appropriate value for its data type, usually nil.

with bindings linked by and are performed in parallel; those not linked are performed sequentially. That is,

\[
(\text{loop with a = (foo) and b = (bar) and c ...
\ldots})
\]
binds the variables like

\[
(((\lambda a b c \ldots) \\
(\text{foo}) (\text{bar}) nil)
\]
whereas
(loop with a = (foo) with b = (bar a) with c ...)
binds the variables like
((lambda (a)
   ((lambda (b)
      ((lambda (c) ...)
        nil))
       (bar a)))
  (foo))

All expr's in with clauses are evaluated in the order they are written, in lambda expressions
surrounding the generated prog. The loop expression
(loop with a = xa and b = xb
   with c = xc
   for d = xd then (f d)
     and e = xe then (g e d)
   for p in xp
   with q = xq
   ...
)
produces the following binding contour, where t1 is a loop-generated temporary:
((lambda (a b)
   ((lambda (c)
      ((lambda (d e)
        ((lambda (p t1)
           ((lambda (q) ...)
            xq))
          nil xp))
         xd xe))
      xc))
   xa xb)

Because all expressions in with clauses are evaluated during the variable binding phase, they are
best placed near the front of the loop form for stylistic reasons.

For binding more than one variable with no particular initialization, one may use the construct
with variable-list {data-type-list} {and ...}
as in
with (i j k t1 t2) (fixnum fixnum fixnum) ...

A slightly shorter way of writing this is
with (i j k) fixnum and (t1 t2) ...

These are cases of destructuring which loop handles specially; destructuring and data type
keywords are discussed in sections 18.5 and 18.4.

Occasionally there are various implementational reasons for a variable not to be given a local
type declaration. If this is necessary, the nodeclare clause may be used:

nodeclare variable-list

The variables in variable-list are noted by loop as not requiring local type declarations.
Consider the following:
(declare (special k) (fixnum k))
(defun foo (1)
  (loop for x in 1 as k fixnum = (f x) ...))
If k did not have the fixnum data-type keyword given for it, then loop would bind it
to nil, and some compilers would complain. On the other hand, the fixnum keyword
also produces a local fixnum declaration for k; since k is special, some compilers will
complain (or error out). The solution is to do:
(defun foo (1)
  (loop nodeclare (k)
    for x in 1 as k fixnum = (f x) ...))
which tells loop not to make that local declaration. The nodelare clause must come
before any reference to the variables so noted. Positioning it incorrectly will cause this
clause to not take effect, and may not be diagnosed.

18.2.3 Entrance and Exit

initially expression
This puts expression into the prologue of the iteration. It will be evaluated before any
other initialization code other than the initial bindings. For the sake of good style,
the initially clause should therefore be placed after any with clauses but before the
main body of the loop.

finally expression
This puts expression into the epilogue of the loop, which is evaluated when the iteration terminates (other than by an explicit return). For stylistic reasons, then, this
clause should appear last in the loop body. Note that certain clauses may generate
code which terminates the iteration without running the epilogue code; this behavior
is noted with those clauses. Most notable of these are those described in the section
18.2.7, Aggregated Boolean Tests. This clause may be used to cause the loop to
return values in a non-standard way:
  (loop for n in 1
    sum n into the-sum
    count t into the-count
  finally (return (quotient the-sum the-count)))

18.2.4 Side Effects

do expression
doing expression
expression is evaluated each time through the loop, as shown in the print-elements-
of-list example on page 274.
18.2.5 Values

The following clauses accumulate a return value for the iteration in some manner. The
general form is

\[ \text{type-of-collection \{expr\ \{data-type\} \{into \var\}} \]

where \text{type-of-collection} is a loop keyword, and \text{expr} is the thing being "accumulated" somehow.
If no \text{into} is specified, then the accumulation will be returned when the \text{loop} terminates. If there
is an \text{into}, then when the epilogue of the \text{loop} is reached, \text{var} (a variable automatically bound
locally in the loop) will have been set to the accumulated result and may be used by the epilogue
code. In this way, a user may accumulate and somehow pass back multiple values from a single
\text{loop}, or use them during the loop. It is safe to reference these variables during the loop, but
they should not be modified until the epilogue code of the loop is reached. For example,

\[
\text{(loop for } x \text{ in list}
  \begin{align*}
  & \text{collect (foo} \ x \text{) into foo-list} \\
  & \text{collect (bar} \ x \text{) into bar-list} \\
  & \text{collect (baz} \ x \text{) into baz-list}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{finally \{return (list foo-list bar-list baz-list)}}\}
\]

has the same effect as

\[
\text{(do \{(g0001 list (cdr g0001))}
  \begin{align*}
  & (x) \text{ (foo-list) (bar-list) (baz-list))} \\
  & (\text{null g0001}) \\
  & (\text{list (nreverse foo-list)} \\
  & \quad \text{(nreverse bar-list)} \\
  & \quad \text{(nreverse baz-list)}))
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{(setq x \{car g0001\})}
\]

\[
\text{(setq foo-list \{cons (foo x) foo-list\})}
\]

\[
\text{(setq bar-list \{cons (bar x) bar-list\})}
\]

\[
\text{(setq baz-list \{cons (baz x) baz-list\})}
\]

except that \text{loop} arranges to form the lists in the correct order, obviating the \text{nreverses} at the
end, and allowing the lists to be examined during the computation.

\text{collect \text{expr}\{\text{into \var}\}}

\text{collecting ...}

This causes the values of \text{expr} on each iteration to be collected into a list.

\text{nconc \text{expr}\{\text{into \var}\}}

\text{nconc-ing ...}

\text{append ...}

\text{appending ...}

These are like \text{collect}, but the results are \text{nconc}ed or \text{appended} together as
appropriate.

\[
\text{(loop for } i \text{ from 1 to 3}
  \begin{align*}
  & \text{nconc (list i (* i i))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow (1 1 2 4 3 9)
\]

\text{count \text{expr}\{\text{into \var}\} \{data-type\}}

\text{counting ...}

If \text{expr} evaluates non-nil, a counter is incremented. The \text{data-type} defaults to \text{fixnum}.

\text{sum \text{expr}\{\text{data-type}\} \{into \var\}}

\text{summing ...}
Evaluates \texttt{expr} on each iteration and accumulates the sum of all the values. \texttt{data-type}
defaults to \texttt{number}, which for all practical purposes is \texttt{notype}. Note that specifying
\texttt{data-type} implies that \textit{both} the sum and the number being summed (the value of
\texttt{expr}) will be of that type.

\begin{verbatim}
maximize \texttt{expr} [\texttt{data-type}] \{ \texttt{into} \texttt{var} \}
minimize ...
\end{verbatim}

Computes the maximum (or minimum) of \texttt{expr} over all iterations. \texttt{data-type} defaults to
\texttt{number}. Note that if the loop iterates zero times, or if conditionalization prevents
the code of this clause from being executed, the result will be meaningless. If \texttt{loop}
can determine that the arithmetic being performed is not contagous (by virtue of
\texttt{data-type} being \texttt{fixnum}, \texttt{flonum}, or \texttt{small-flonum}), then it may choose to code this
by doing an arithmetic comparison rather than calling either \texttt{max} or \texttt{min}. As with the
\texttt{sum} clause, specifying \texttt{data-type} implies that both the result of the \texttt{max} or \texttt{min}
operation and the value being maximized or minimized will be of that type.

Not only may there be multiple accumulations in a \texttt{loop}, but a single accumulation may
come from multiple places \textit{within the same loop form}. Obviously, the types of the collection
must be compatible. \texttt{collect}, \texttt{nconc}, and \texttt{append} may all be mixed, as may \texttt{sum} and \texttt{count},
and maximize and minimize. For example,

\begin{verbatim}
(loop for x in '(a b c) for y in '((1 2) (3 4) (5 6))
  collect x
  append y)
=> (a 1 2 b 3 4 c 5 6)
\end{verbatim}

The following computes the average of the entries in the \texttt{list-of-frobs}:

\begin{verbatim}
(loop for x in list-of-frobs
  count t into count-var
  sum x into sum-var
  finally (return (quotient sum-var count-var))
\end{verbatim}

\subsection{18.2.6 Endtests}

The following clauses may be used to provide additional control over when the iteration gets
terminated, possibly causing exit code (due to \texttt{finally}) to be performed and possibly returning a
value (e.g., from \texttt{collect}).

\begin{verbatim}
while \texttt{expr}
\end{verbatim}

If \texttt{expr} evaluates to \texttt{nil}, the loop is exited, performing exit code (if any) and returning
any accumulated value. The test is placed in the body of the loop where it is written.
It may appear between sequential \texttt{for} clauses.

\begin{verbatim}
until \texttt{expr}
\end{verbatim}

Identical to \texttt{while} (not \texttt{expr}).

This may be needed, for example, to step through a strange data structure, as in

\begin{verbatim}
(loop until (top-of-concept-tree? concept)
  for concept = expr then (superior-concept concept)
  ...)
\end{verbatim}

Note that the placement of the \texttt{while} clause before the \texttt{for} clause is valid in this case because of
the definition of this particular variant of for, which binds concept to its first value rather than setting it from inside the loop.

The following may also be of use in terminating the iteration:

```
(loop-finish) causes the iteration to terminate "normally", the same as implicit termination by an iteration driving clause, or by the use of while or until—the epilogue code (if any) will be run, and any implicitly collected result will be returned as the value of the loop. For example,

(l loop for x in '(1 2 3 4 5 6)  
   collect x  
   do (cond ((= x 4) (loop-finish))))
=> (1 2 3 4)
```

This particular example would be better written as until (= x 4) in place of the do clause.

### 18.2.7 Aggregated Boolean Tests

All of these clauses perform some test and may immediately terminate the iteration depending on the result of that test.

**always expr**

Causes the loop to return t if expr always evaluates non-null. If expr evaluates to nil the loop immediately returns nil, without running the epilogue code (if any, as specified with the finally clause); otherwise, t will be returned when the loop finishes, after the epilogue code has been run.

**never expr**

Causes the loop to return t if expr never evaluates non-null. This is equivalent to always (not expr).

**thereis expr**

If expr evaluates non-nil, then the iteration is terminated, and that value is returned without running the epilogue code.

### 18.2.8 Conditionalization

These clauses may be used to "conditionalize" the following clause. They may precede any of the side-effecting or value-producing clauses, such as do, collect, always, or return.

**when expr**

if expr

If expr evaluates to nil, the following clause will be skipped, otherwise not.

**unless expr**

This is equivalent to when (not expr).
Multiple conditionalization clauses may appear in sequence. If one test fails, then any following tests in the immediate sequence, as well as the clause being conditionalized, are skipped.

Multiple clauses may be conditionalized under the same test by joining them with and, as in

```lisp
(loop for i from a to b
   when (zerop (remainder i 3))
   collect i and do (print i))
```

which returns a list of all multiples of 3 from a to b (inclusive) and prints them as they are being collected.

If-then-else conditionals may be written using the `else` keyword, as in

```lisp
(loop for i from a to b
   when (oddp i)
     collect i into odd-numbers
   else collect i into even-numbers)
```

Multiple clauses may appear in an `else`-phrase, using and to join them in the same way as above.

Conditionals may be nested. For example,

```lisp
(loop for i from a to b
   when (zerop (remainder i 3))
     do (print i)
   and when (zerop (remainder i 2))
     collect i)
```
returns a list of all multiples of 6 from a to b, and prints all multiples of 3 from a to b.

When `else` is used with nested conditionals, the "dangling else" ambiguity is resolved by matching the `else` with the innermost `when` not already matched with an `else`. Here is a complicated example:

```lisp
(loop for x in l
   when (atom x)
     when (memq x *distinguished-symbols*)
       do (process1 x)
     else do (process2 x)
     else when (memq (car x) *special-prefixes*)
       collect (process3 (car x) (cdr x))
     and do (memoize x)
     else do (process4 x))
```

Useful with the conditionalization clauses is the `return` clause, which causes an explicit return of its "argument" as the value of the iteration, bypassing any epilogue code. That is,

```lisp
when expr1 return expr2
```

is equivalent to

```lisp
when expr1 do (return expr2)
```

Conditionalization of one of the "aggregated boolean value" clauses simply causes the test that would cause the iteration to terminate early not to be performed unless the condition succeeds. For example,
(loop for x in 1
    when (significant-p x)
    do (print x) (princ "is significant."
        and thereis (extra-special-significant-p x))

does not make the extra-special-significant-p check unless the significant-p check succeeds.

The format of a conditionalized clause is typically something like

    when expr1 keyword expr2

If expr2 is the keyword it, then a variable is generated to hold the value of expr1, and that variable gets substituted for expr2. Thus, the composition

    when expr return it

is equivalent to the clause

    thereis expr

and one may collect all non-null values in an iteration by saying

    when expression collect it

If multiple clauses are joined with and, the it keyword may only be used in the first. If multiple whens, unless, and/or ifs occur in sequence, the value substituted for it will be that of the last test performed. The it keyword is not recognized in an else-phrase.

18.2.9 Miscellaneous Other Clauses

named name

This gives a name of name to the prog that loop generates, so that one may use the return-from form to return explicitly out of that particular loop:

    (loop named sue
        ...
        do (loop ... do (return-from sue value) ...)
        ...)

The return-from form shown causes value to be immediately returned as the value of the outer loop. Only one name may be given to any particular loop construct. This feature does not exist in the Maclisp version of loop, since Maclisp does not support "named progs".

return expression

Immediately returns the value of expression as the value of the loop, without running the epilogue code. This is most useful with some sort of conditionalization, as discussed in the previous section. Unlike most of the other clauses, return is not considered to "generate body code", so it is allowed to occur between iteration clauses, as in

    (loop for entry in list
        when (not (numberp entry))
        return (error ...)
        as frob = (times entry 2)
        ...)

If one instead desires the loop to have some return value when it finishes normally, one may place a call to the return function in the epilogue (with the finally clause, page 280).
18.3 Loop Synonyms

**define-loop-macro**  
\textit{keyword} \hspace{1cm} \textit{Macro}  
May be used to make \textit{keyword}, a loop keyword (such as \textit{for}), into a Lisp macro that may introduce a loop form. For example, after evaluating  
\begin{verbatim}
(define-loop-macro for),
\end{verbatim}
one may now write an iteration as  
\begin{verbatim}
(for i from 1 below n do ...)
\end{verbatim}

This facility exists primarily for diehard users of a predecessor of \textit{loop}. Its unconstrained use is not recommended, as it tends to decrease the transportability of the code and needlessly uses up a function name.

18.4 Data Types

In many of the clause descriptions, an optional \textit{data-type} is shown. A \textit{data-type} in this sense is an atomic symbol, and is recognizable as such by \textit{loop}. These are used for declaration and initialization purposes: for example, in  
\begin{verbatim}
(loop for x in 1
     maximize x flonum into the-max
     sum x flonum into the-sum
     ...)
\end{verbatim}
the \textit{flonum} data-type keyword for the \textit{maximize} clause says that the result of the \textit{max} operation, and its "argument" \((x)\), will both be \textit{flonums}; hence \textit{loop} may choose to code this operation specially since it knows there can be no contagious arithmetic. The \textit{flonum} data-type keyword for the \textit{sum} clause behaves similarly, and in addition causes the-\textit{sum} to be correctly initialized to \texttt{0.0} rather than \texttt{0}. The \textit{flonum} keywords will also cause the variables the-\textit{max} and the-\textit{sum} to be declared to be \textit{flonum}, in implementations where such a declaration exists. In general, a numeric data-type more specific than \textit{number}, whether explicitly specified or defaulted, is considered by \textit{loop} to be license to generate code using type-specific arithmetic functions where reasonable. The following data-type keywords are recognized by \textit{loop} (others may be defined; for that, consult the source code):

- \texttt{fixnum}  
  An implementation-dependent limited range integer.

- \texttt{flonum}  
  An implementation-dependent limited precision floating point number.

- \texttt{small-flonum}  
  This is recognized in the ZetaLisp implementation only, where its only significance is for initialization purposes, since no such declaration exists.

- \texttt{integer}  
  Any integer (no range restriction).

- \texttt{number}  
  Any number.

- \texttt{notype}  
  Unspecified type (i.e., anything else).

Note that explicit specification of a non-numeric type for a numeric operation (such as the \textit{summing} clause) may cause a variable to be initialized to \texttt{nil} when it should be \texttt{0}.
If local data-type declarations must be inhibited, one can use the `nodeclare` clause, which is described on page 279.

18.5 Destructuring

`Destructuring` provides one with the ability to "simultaneously" assign or bind multiple variables to components of some data structure. Typically this is used with list structure. For example,

```lisp
(loop with (foo . bar) = '(a b c) ...)
```

has the effect of binding `foo` to `a` and `bar` to `(b c)`.

`loop`'s destructuring support is intended to parallel if not augment that provided by the host Lisp implementation, with a goal of minimally providing destructuring over list structure patterns. Thus, in Lisp implementations with no system destructuring support at all, one may still use list-structure patterns as `loop` iteration variables and in `with` bindings. In NIL., `loop` also supports destructuring over vectors.

One may specify the data types of the components of a pattern by using a corresponding pattern of the data type keywords in place of a single data type keyword. This syntax remains unambiguous because wherever a data type keyword is possible, a `loop` keyword is the only other possibility. Thus, if one wants to do

```lisp
(loop for x in l
       as i fixnum = (car x)
       and j fixnum = (cadr x)
       and k fixnum = (cdadr x)
       ...)
```

and no reference to `x` is needed, one may instead write

```lisp
(loop for (i j k) (fixnum fixnum . fixnum) in l ...)
```

To allow some abbreviation of the data type pattern, an atomic component of the data type pattern is considered to state that all components of the corresponding part of the variable pattern are of that type. That is, the previous form could be written as

```lisp
(loop for (i j k) fixnum in l ...)
```

This generality allows binding of multiple typed variables in a reasonably concise manner, as in

```lisp
(loop with (a b c) and (i j k) fixnum ...)
```

which binds `a`, `b`, and `c` to `nil` and `i`, `j`, and `k` to `0` for use as temporaries during the iteration, and declares `i`, `j`, and `k` to be fixnums for the benefit of the compiler.

```lisp
(defun map-over-properties (fn symbol)
  (loop for (propname propval) on (plist symbol) by 'cddr
        do (funcall fn symbol propname propval)))
```

maps `fn` over the properties on `symbol`, giving it arguments of the symbol, the property name, and the value of that property.

In Lisp implementations where `loop` performs its own destructuring, notably Multics Maclisp and Zetalisp, one can cause `loop` to use already provided destructuring support instead:
**si:loop-use-system-destructuring?**

This variable exists only in loop implementations in Lisps that do not provide destructuring support in the default environment. It is by default nil. If changed, then loop will behave as it does in Lisps that do provide destructuring support: destructuring binding will be performed using let and destructuring assignment will be performed using deseq. Presumably if one's personalized environment supplies these macros, then one should set this variable to t; however, there is little if any efficiency loss if this is not done.

### 18.6 The Iteration Framework

This section describes the way loop constructs iterations. It is necessary if you will be writing your own iteration paths, and may be useful in clarifying what loop does with its input.

**loop** considers the act of stepping to have four possible parts. Each iteration-driving clause has some or all of these four parts, which are executed in this order:

- **pre-step-endtest**
  - This is an endtest which determines if it is safe to step to the next value of the iteration variable.

- **steps**
  - Variables that get "stepped". This is internally manipulated as a list of the form \((\text{var1 val1 var2 val2 ...})\); all of those variables are stepped in parallel, meaning that all of the \(\text{vals}\) are evaluated before any of the \(\text{vars}\) are set.

- **post-step-endtest**
  - Sometimes you can't see if you are done until you step to the next value; that is, the endtest is a function of the stepped-to value.

- **pseudo-steps**
  - Other things that need to be stepped. This is typically used for internal variables that are more conveniently stepped here, or to set up iteration variables that are functions of some internal variable(s) actually driving the iteration. This is a list like \(\text{steps}\), but the variables in it do not get stepped in parallel.

The above alone is actually insufficient in just about all iteration driving clauses that loop handles. What is missing is that in most cases the stepping and testing for the first time through the loop is different from that of all other times. So, what loop deals with is two four-tuples as above; one for the first iteration, and one for the rest. The first may be thought of as describing code that immediately precedes the loop in the **prog**, and the second as following the **body** code—in fact, loop does just this, but severely perturbs it in order to reduce code duplication. Two lists of forms are constructed in parallel: one is the first-iteration endtests and steps, the other the remaining-iterations endtests and steps. These lists have dummy entries in them so that identical expressions will appear in the same position in both. When loop is done parsing all of the clauses, these lists get merged back together such that corresponding identical expressions in both lists are not duplicated unless they are "simple" and it is worth doing.

Thus, one may get some duplicated code if one has multiple iterations. Alternatively, loop may decide to use and test a flag variable that indicates whether one iteration has been performed. In general, sequential iterations have less overhead than parallel iterations, both from the inherent
overhead of stepping multiple variables in parallel, and from the standpoint of potential code duplication.

One other point that must be noted about parallel stepping is that although the user iteration variables are guaranteed to be stepped in parallel, the placement of the endtest for any particular iteration may be either before or after the stepping. A notable case of this is

\[
\text{(loop for i from 1 to 3 and dummy = (print 'foo)}
\text{collect i)}
\]

=> (1 2 3)

but prints foo four times. Certain other constructs, such as for var on, may or may not do this depending on the particular construction.

This problem also means that it may not be safe to examine an iteration variable in the epilogue of the loop form. As a general rule, if an iteration driving clause implicitly supplies an endtest, then one cannot know the state of the iteration variable when the loop terminates. Although one can guess on the basis of whether the iteration variable itself holds the data upon which the endtest is based, that guess may be wrong. Thus,

\[
\text{(loop for subl on expr}
\]

\[
\text{...}
\]

\[
\text{finally (f subl))}
\]

is incorrect, but

\[
\text{(loop as frob = expr while (g frob)}
\]

\[
\text{...}
\]

\[
\text{finally (f frob))}
\]

is safe because the endtest is explicitly dissociated from the stepping.

18.7 Iteration Paths

Iteration paths provide a mechanism for user extension of iteration-driving clauses. The interface is constrained so that the definition of a path need not depend on much of the internals of loop. The typical form of an iteration path is

\[
\text{for var \{data-type\} being \{each|the\} pathname \{preposition|expr\}...}
\]

pathname is an atomic symbol which is defined as a loop path function. The usage and defaulting of data-type is up to the path function. Any number of preposition/expression pairs may be present; the prepositions allowable for any particular path are defined by that path. For example,

\[
\text{(loop for x being the array-elements of my-array from 1 to 10}
\]

\[
\text{...)}
\]

To enhance readability, pathnames are usually defined in both the singular and plural forms; this particular example could have been written as

\[
\text{(loop for x being each array-element of my-array from 1 to 10}
\]

\[
\text{...)}
\]

Another format, which is not so generally applicable, is

\[
\text{for var \{data-type\} being expr0 and its pathname \{preposition|expr\}...}
\]

In this format, var takes on the value of expr0 the first time through the loop. Support for this format is usually limited to paths which step through some data structure, such as the "superiors" of something. Thus, we can hypothesize the cdrs path, such that
(loop for x being the cdrs of '(a b c . d) collect x)  
=> ((b c . d) (c . d) d)

but

(loop for x being '(a b c . d) and its cdrs collect x)  
=> ((a b c . d) (b c . d) (c . d) d)

To satisfy the anthropomorphic among you, his, her, or their may be substituted for the its keyword, as may each. Egocentricity is not condoned. Some example uses of iteration paths are shown in section 18.7.1.

Very often, iteration paths step internal variables which the user does not specify, such as an index into some data-structure. Although in most cases the user does not wish to be concerned with such low-level matters, it is occasionally useful to have a handle on such things. loop provides an additional syntax with which one may provide a variable name to be used as an "internal" variable by an iteration path, with the using "prepositional phrase". The using phrase is placed with the other phrases associated with the path, and contains any number of keyword/variable-name pairs:

(loop for x being the array-elements of a using (index i)  
...)

which says that the variable i should be used to hold the index of the array being stepped through. The particular keywords which may be used are defined by the iteration path; the index keyword is recognized by all loop sequence paths (section 18.7.1.2). Note that any individual using phrase applies to only one path; it is parsed along with the "prepositional phrases". It is an error if the path does not call for a variable using that keyword.

By special dispensation, if a pathname is not recognized, then the default-loop-path path will be invoked upon a syntactic transformation of the original input. Essentially, the loop fragment

for var being frob
is taken as if it were

for var being default-loop-path in frob

and

for var being expr and its frob ...

is taken as if it were

for var being expr and its default-loop-path in frob

Thus, this "undefined pathname hook" only works if the default-loop-path path is defined. Obviously, the use of this "hook" is competitive, since only one such hook may be in use, and the potential for syntactic ambiguity exists if frob is the name of a defined iteration path. This feature is not for casual use; it is intended for use by large systems that wish to use a special syntax for some feature they provide.
18.7.1 Pre-Defined Paths

`loop` comes with two pre-defined iteration path functions; one implements a `mapatoms`-like iteration path facility and the other is used for defining iteration paths for stepping through sequences.

18.7.1.1 The Interned-Symbols Path

The interned-symbols iteration path is like a `mapatoms` for `loop`.

```lisp
(loop for sym being interned-symbols ...) 
```
itrates over all of the symbols in the current package and its superiors (or, in Maclisp, the current obarray). This is the same set of symbols over which `mapatoms` iterates, although not necessarily in the same order. The particular package to look in may be specified as in

```lisp
(loop for sym being the interned-symbols in package ...) 
```
which is like giving a second argument to `mapatoms`.

In Lisp implementations with some sort of hierarchical package structure such as Zetalisp, one may restrict the iteration to be over just the package specified and not its superiors, by using the local-interned-symbols path:

```lisp
(loop for sym being the local-interned-symbols {in package} ...) 
```

Example:

```lisp
(defun my-apropos (sub-string &optional (pkg package)) 
  (loop for x being the interned-symbols in pkg 
    when (string-search sub-string x) 
    when (or (boundp x) (fboundp x) (plist x)) 
    do (print-interesting-info x))) 
```

In the Zetalisp and NIL implementations of `loop`, a package specified with the in preposition may be anything acceptable to the `pkg-find-package` function. The code generated by this path will contain calls to internal `loop` functions, with the effect that it will be transparent to changes to the implementation of packages. In the Maclisp implementation, the obarray must be an array pointer, not a symbol with an array property.

18.7.1.2 Sequence Iteration

One very common form of iteration is done over the elements of some object that is accessible by means of an integer index. `loop` defines an iteration path function for doing this in a general way and provides a simple interface to allow users to define iteration paths for various kinds of "indexable" data.

```lisp
define-loop-sequence-path Macro
  (define-loop-sequence-path path-name-or-names 
    fetch-fun size-fun 
    sequence-type default-var-type) 
```

`path-name-or-names` is either an atomic path name or list of path names. `fetch-fun` is a function of two arguments, the sequence and the index of the item to be fetched.
(Indexing is assumed to be zero-origined.) size-fun is a function of one argument, the sequence; it should return the number of elements in the sequence. sequence-type is the name of the data-type of the sequence, and default-var-type the name of the data-type of the elements of the sequence. These last two items are optional.

The Zetalisp implementation of loop utilizes the Zetalisp array manipulation primitives to define both array-element and array-elements as iteration paths:

(define-loop-sequence-path (array-element array-elements)
  aref array-active-length)

Then, the loop clause

  for var being the array-elements of array

will step var over the elements of array, starting from 0. The sequence path function also accepts in as a synonym for of.

The range and stepping of the iteration may be specified with the use of all of the same keywords which are accepted by the loop arithmetic stepper (for var from ...); they are by, to, downto, from, downfrom, below, and above, and are interpreted in the same manner. Thus,

  (loop for var being the array-elements of array
        from 1 by 2
        ...)

steps var over all of the odd elements of array, and

  (loop for var being the array-elements of array
downto 0
        ...)

steps in "reverse" order.

(define-loop-sequence-path (vector-elements vector-element)
vref vector-length notype notype)

is how the vector-elements iteration path can be defined in NIL (which it is). One can then do such things as

  (defun cons-a-lot (item &restv other-items)
    (and other-items
      (loop for x being the vector-elements of other-items
collect (cons item x)))))

All such sequence iteration paths allow one to specify the variable to be used as the index variable, by use of the index keyword with the using prepositional phrase, as described (with an example) on page 290.
18.7.2 Defining Paths

This section and the next may not be of interest to those not interested in defining their own iteration paths.

In addition to the code which defines the iteration (section 18.6), a loop iteration clause (e.g., a for or as clause) produces variables to be bound and pre-iteration (prologue) code. This breakdown allows a user-interface to loop which does not have to depend on or know about the internals of loop. To complete this separation, the iteration path mechanism parses the clause before giving it to the user function that will return those items. A function to generate code for a path may be declared to loop with the define-loop-path function:

```
define-loop-path
  (define-loop-path pathname-or-names path-function
    list-of-allowable-prepositions
    datum-1 datum-2 ...)
```

This defines path-function to be the handler for the path(s) pathname-or-names, which may be either a symbol or a list of symbols. Such a handler should follow the conventions described below. The datum-i are optional; they are passed in to path-function as a list.

The handler will be called with the following arguments:

path-name
  The name of the path that caused the path function to be invoked.

variable
  The "iteration variable".

data-type
  The data type supplied with the iteration variable, or nil if none was supplied.

prepositional-phrases
  This is a list with entries of the form (preposition expression), in the order in which they were collected. This may also include some supplied implicitly (e.g., an of phrase when the iteration is inclusive, and an in phrase for the default-loop-path path); the ordering will show the order of evaluation that should be followed for the expressions.

inclusive?
  This is t if variable should have the starting point of the path as its value on the first iteration (by virtue of being specified with syntax like for var being expr and its pathname), nil otherwise. When t, expr will appear in prepositional-phrases with the of preposition; for example, for x being foo and its cdrs gets prepositional-phrases of ((of foo)).

allowed-prepositions
  This is the list of allowable prepositions declared for the pathname that caused the path function to be invoked. It and data (immediately below) may be used by the path function such that a single function may handle similar paths.

data
  This is the list of "data" declared for the pathname that caused the path function to be invoked. It may, for instance, contain a canonicalized pathname, or a set of functions or flags to aid the path function in determining what to do. In this way, the same path function may be able to handle different paths.
The handler should return a list of either six or ten elements:

**variable-bindings**

This is a list of variables that need to be bound. The entries in it may be of the form `variable`, `(variable expression)`, or `(variable expression data-type)`. Note that it is the responsibility of the handler to make sure the iteration variable gets bound. All of these variables will be bound in parallel; if initialization of one depends on others, it should be done with a `setq` in the `prologue-forms`. Returning only the variable without any initialization expression is not allowed if the variable is a destructuring pattern.

**prologue-forms**

This is a list of forms that should be included in the `loop` prologue.

**the four items of the iteration specification**

These are the four items described in section 18.6, page 288: `pre-step-endtest`, `steps`, `post-step-endtest`, and `pseudo-steps`.

**another four items of iteration specification**

If these four items are given, they apply to the first iteration, and the previous four apply to all succeeding iterations; otherwise, the previous four apply to all iterations.

Here are the routines that are used by `loop` to compare keywords for equality. In all cases, a `token` may be any Lisp object, but a `keyword` is expected to be an atomic symbol. In certain implementations these functions may be implemented as macros.

**si:loop-tequal token keyword**

This is the `loop` token comparison function. `token` is any Lisp object; `keyword` is the keyword it is to be compared against. It returns `t` if they represent the same token, comparing in a manner appropriate for the implementation.

**si:loop-tmember token keyword-list**

The member variant of `si:loop-tequal`.

**si:loop-tassoc token keyword-alist**

The assoc variant of `si:loop-tequal`.

If an iteration path function desires to make an internal variable accessible to the user, it should call the following function instead of `gensym`:

**si:loop-named-variable keyword**

This should only be called from within an iteration path function. If `keyword` has been specified in a `using` phrase for this path, the corresponding variable is returned; otherwise, `gensym` is called and that new symbol returned. Within a given path function, this routine should only be called once for any given `keyword`.

If the user specifies a `using` preposition containing any keywords for which the path function does not call `si:loop-named-variable`, `loop` will inform the user of his error.
18.7.2.1 An Example Path Definition

Here is an example function that defines the string-characters iteration path. This path steps a variable through all of the characters of a string. It accepts the format

\[(\text{loop for } var \text{ being the string-characters of } str \ldots)\]

The function is defined to handle the path by

\[(\text{define-loop-path string-characters string-chars-path of})\]

This half-page intentionally left almost blank.
Here is the function:

```lisp
(defun string-chars-path (path-name variable data-type
  prep-phrases inclusive?
  allowed-prepositions data
&aux (bindings nil)
  (prologue nil)
  (string-var (gensym))
  (index-var (gensym))
  (size-var (gensym)))

  allowed-prepositions data ; unused variables
; To iterate over the characters of a string, we need
; to save the string, save the size of the string,
; set up an index variable through that range, setting
; the user’s variable to the character at that index.
; Default the data-type of the user’s variable:
  (cond ((null data-type) (setq data-type 'fixnum)))
; We support exactly one "preposition", which is
; required, so this check suffices:
  (cond ((null prep-phrases)
    (error nil "OF missing in ~S iteration path of ~S"
      path-name variable))))
; We do not support "inclusive" iteration:
  (cond ((not (null inclusive?))
    (error nil
      "Inclusive stepping not supported in ~S path ~
      of ~S (prep phrases = ~S)"
      path-name variable prep-phrases)))

; Set up the bindings
  (setq bindings (list (list variable nil data-type)
    (list string-var (cadar prep-phrases))
    (list index-var 0 'fixnum)
    (list size-var 0 'fixnum)))

; Now set the size variable
  (setq prologue (list '(setq ,size-var (string-length
    ,string-var))))

; and return the appropriate stuff, explained below.
  (list bindings
    prologue
    '(
    nil
    nil
    ; char-n is the NIL string referencing primitive.
    ; In Zetalisp, aref could be used instead.
    (list variable '(char-n ,string-var ,index-var)
      index-var '(1+ ,index-var))))
```
The first element of the returned list is the bindings. The second is a list of forms to be placed in the prologue. The remaining elements specify how the iteration is to be performed. This example is a particularly simple case, for two reasons: the actual "variable of iteration", index-var, is purely internal (being gensymmed), and the stepping of it (1+) is such that it may be performed safely without an endtest. Thus index-var may be stepped immediately after the setting of the user's variable, causing the iteration specification for the first iteration to be identical to the iteration specification for all remaining iterations. This is advantageous from the standpoint of the optimizations loop is able to perform, although it is frequently not possible due to the semantics of the iteration (e.g., for var first expr1 then expr2) or to subtleties of the stepping. It is safe for this path to step the user's variable in the pseudo-steps (the fourth item of an iteration specification) rather than the "real" steps (the second), because the step value can have no dependencies on any other (user) iteration variables. Using the pseudo-steps generally results in some efficiency gains.

If one desired the index variable in the above definition to be user-accessible through the using phrase feature with the index keyword, the function would need to be changed in two ways. First, index-var should be bound to (si:loop-named-variable 'index) instead of (gensym). Secondly, the efficiency hack of stepping the index variable ahead of the iteration variable must not be done. This is effected by changing the last form to be

\begin{verbatim}
(list bindings prologue
  nil
  (list index-var '(1+, index-var))
  '(
    (= ,index-var ,size-var)
    (list variable '(char-n ,string-var ,index-var))
  nil
  nil
  '(
    (= ,index-var ,size-var)
    (list variable '(char-n ,string-var ,index-var)))
\end{verbatim}

Note that although the second ' (= ,index-var ,size-var) could have been placed earlier (where the second nil is), it is best for it to match up with the equivalent test in the first iteration specification grouping.